



# **DESIGNING FOR RESULTS: Integrating Monitoring and Evaluation in Conflict Transformation Programs**

Cheyenne Church and Mark M. Rogers



# **DESIGNING FOR RESULTS: Integrating Monitoring And Evaluation In Conflict Transformation Programs**

Cheyenne Church and Mark M. Rogers

© 2006 Search for Common Ground

Duplication and transmission is encouraged and authorized without special permission, as long as acknowledgement is given to Search for Common Ground.

1601 Connecticut Avenue, NW  
Suite 200  
Washington, DC 20009  
Telephone: 1-202-265-4300  
[www.sfcg.org](http://www.sfcg.org)



The opinions expressed in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the United States Institute of Peace or of the Alliance for Peacebuilding.

December 13th, 2005

Dear colleagues in the field of conflict transformation,

It is my pleasure to introduce you to this manual for monitoring and evaluating peacebuilding initiatives. *Designing for Results: Integrating Monitoring & Evaluation in Conflict Transformation Programmes* was produced by Search for Common Ground, an international non-governmental organisation working in the field of conflict transformation, in partnership with the United States Institute of Peace and the Alliance for Peacebuilding. The authors of this manual are Cheyanne Church and Mark M. Rogers, whose hands-on experience, coupled with their deep analytical skills and theoretical bases, have meant that our field now has the first practical manual of its kind to which to turn.

It is my joy to thank both Cheyanne and Mark for the invaluable contribution they are making to our field.

Let me tell you a bit about Search for Common Ground and why we wanted to put this manual together.

Our mission is to transform the way the world deals with conflict: away from adversarial approaches, toward cooperative solutions. Our operating motto is: “Understand the differences; act on the commonalities.”

Since 1982, we have developed comprehensive conflict transformation programmes in: Angola, Burundi, Côte d’Ivoire, the Democratic Republic of Congo, Guinée, Indonesia, Iran, Kosovo, Liberia, Macedonia, the Middle East (with offices in Jerusalem and Amman), Morocco, Nepal, Nigeria, Sierra Leone, Ukraine and the United States. We are also working on the broad issues pertaining to Islamic-Western relations in a large programme called Partners in Humanity.

Today, we have more than 350 full-time staff around the world, which makes us one of the largest NGOs working in our field. Our headquarters are in Brussels and Washington, DC.

We appreciate that people and nations will always act in their perceived best interests, but that everyone’s best interest is served by solutions that maximize the gain of those with a stake in the outcome. Today’s problems – whether ethnic, environmental, or economic – are too complex and interconnected to be settled on an adversarial basis.

We believe that non-governmental organisations like ours can – and should – play a key role in complementing and supplementing the work of governments and multilateral organisations and that close cooperation improves the chances for successful conflict prevention and resolution.

Our core principles include:  
Conflict is both normal and resolvable;  
Common ground is not the same as compromise;  
Conflict can be transformed;  
Peace is a process;  
Humankind is interdependent.

Our operating practices include:  
Cooperative action;  
Using an integrated approach;  
Committing to engage and discovering the possibilities with time;  
Avoiding parachuting;  
Being social entrepreneurs;  
Being fully immersed in local cultures.

Our operational methods are diverse. Our “toolbox” includes:  
Traditional conflict resolution techniques;  
Mediation and facilitation;  
Capacity strengthening;  
Shuttle diplomacy;  
Back-channel negotiations;  
Practical cooperation projects;  
Radio and television production;  
Common Ground journalism;  
Arts and Culture;  
Sports;  
Policy Forums;  
Polling;  
Awards.

As an organisation, we are deeply committed to measuring and increasing the difference our programmes make. We are also committed to being a learning organisation, which shares lessons learned across programmes within the organisation and with our partners in the field, both internationally and locally.

This manual is one of many contributions we hope to make to advance our field. It is our desire that it will prompt wider access to, and use of, the tools and concepts found within. For more information, please visit our website at <http://www.sfcg.org>.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Sandra D. Melone". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large initial 'S' and a period at the end.

Sandra D. Melone  
Executive Director

# ABOUT THE AUTHORS

**Cheyenne Church** is a Visiting Scholar with the Fletcher School and the West Africa Liaison with the Reflecting on Peace Practice project (CDA - Collaborative Learning Project) as an independent consultant. She was previously the Director for Institutional Learning and Research at Search for Common Ground (SFCG). While at SFCG, she spearheaded the use of design, monitoring and evaluation tools as integrated components of peacebuilding programming in SFCG offices from Burundi to Ukraine. She was also involved in a variety of efforts to advance the field of evaluation and peacebuilding, such as the development of a new methodology to measure impact in Macedonia and Kosovo.

Cheyenne has published on evaluation and conflict resolution, single-identity work, and conflict research effects on policy, and most recently, she co-edited *NGOs at the Table: Strategies for Influencing Policy in Areas of Conflict*. She has also taught courses on peacebuilding and evaluation at INCORE (Northern Ireland) and American University (Washington, DC). Prior to SFCG, Cheyenne was the Director of Policy and Evaluation at INCORE. In this period, she was also a member of the Advisory Group for the Reflecting on Peace Practice Project for Collaborative for Development Action. Cheyenne received her MSc from the London School of Economics and her BComm from Queen's University in Kingston, Ontario, Canada.

**Mark M. Rogers** is an experienced facilitator, trainer, mediator, program designer, and peacebuilder. His main interest is in developing collaborative processes that address community conflicts, strengthen relationships, and end violence. He has taught courses on the practice of peacebuilding at the United Nations University for Peace in Costa Rica and on monitoring and evaluation for peacebuilding at American University. He has also participated in conflict assessments for USAID's Office of Conflict Management and Mitigation and the World Bank.

Previously, Mark served in Burundi as the Country Director for Search for Common Ground's largest and oldest program. Mark has also served as a mediator, trainer, and service coordinator in upstate New York, mediating dozens of neighborhood, family, workplace, and housing disputes and training young mediators for school-based mediation programs.

He has over two decades of field experience in relief and community development in Central and West Africa, Asia, Central America, and the Balkans working with several organizations, including PLAN International, the International Rescue Committee, and the International Medical Corps. Mark holds a Master's in International Administration from the School for International Training in Brattleboro, Vermont and a BA from the University of Colorado.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Search for Common Ground extends its sincere appreciation to the United States Institute of Peace (USIP) and the Alliance for Peacebuilding for providing funds to support this project.

We are most indebted to Heather Mack, DM&E Project Associate at Search for Common Ground, who managed this project for many months with exemplary patience, attention to details and enthusiasm. This manual wouldn't have been possible without her.

Many individuals gave their time, energy and insights, which have added immeasurably to the quality of this manual. The Readers Group provided important input on the overall structure, and their chapter reviews furthered the authors thinking substantially.

## **Mohammed Abu-Nimer, Ph.D.**

American University, USA

## **Oscar Bloh**

SFCG, Liberia

## **Diana Chigas**

Collaborative for Development Action, USA

## **Su Flickinger**

SFCG, USA

## **Arijanto Komari**

Plan International, Indonesia

## **Martha McManus**

Conflict Transformation Practitioner, Canada

## **Lena Slachmuis**

SFCG, Democratic Republic of Congo

## **Mary Stewart**

DCHA/OTI, USA

## **Peter Woodrow**

Collaborative for Development Action, USA

A dynamic group of 20 Search for Common Ground staff were also critical to the development and articulation of the ideas within the manual. Their patience and constructive criticisms through the manual test process was valued and much appreciated.

**Yooke Adelina**

Indonesia

**Luis Jimbo Kandangongo**

Angola

**Rebecca Besant**

Liberia

**Etiole Kazeruke**

Burundi

**Oscar Bloh**

Liberia

**Heather Mack**

USA

**Juanna Bonopha**

Sierra Leone

**Sandra Melone**

USA

**Kornelija Cipuseva**

Macedonia

**Gayle Meyers**

Middle East

**Annelies Claessen**

Belgium

**Stephane Mora**

Democratic Republic of Congo

**Graham Couturier**

USA

**Nestor Nkurunziza**

Democratic Republic of Congo

**Aminata Diabaget**

Cote d'Ivoire

**Annick Nsabimana**

Burundi

**Abou Fassi-Fihri**

Morocco

**Alice Rowley**

Belgium

**Alyona Gorova**

Ukraine

**Marie Williams**

USA

Finally, sincere appreciation needs to be extended to Mana Takasugi whose dedication, intelligence and presence of mind were key to the success of the funding proposal which supported this project. Heather Mack's positive attitude and enthusiasm for the material were not only a pleasure to work with, but she also ensured that the all the research was completed and the details of this project never slipped through the cracks. Thanks also need to be extended to Tim Werner for his eagle eye throughout the editing process.



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

## Introduction – 1

### Chapter 1: Learning – 4

Why is learning important in peacebuilding? – 5

How can we use Kolb's theory of adult learning? – 6

What about combining field and academically based learning? – 8

What about failure? – 9

### Chapter 2: Understanding Change – 10

How is success defined? – 12

Who defines what success is? – 13

What are we trying to change? – 13

What are the theories of change for peacebuilding? – 14

How do we use theories of change? – 16

What are the different types of change? – 18

How do we use types of change? – 20

How do theories of change and types of change come together? – 20

Advance Concept: Developing Adaptive Change Processes – 23

### Chapter 3: Program Design – 25

Why is design important? – 26

How do we come up with creative peacebuilding program designs? – 27

How are designs built? – 28

How do we set the goal? – 30

How do we manage goals set by the donor? – 31

How do we define the objectives? – 32

How do we select the activities and outputs? – 33

Aren't all design hierarchies relative? – 33

How do we identify assumptions? – 34

How do we put all of these ideas together? – 36

How are logical and results frameworks different? – 37

Advanced Concept: Designing Integrated Peacebuilding Programs – 38

How do we monitor and evaluate if we don't have a design? – 40

If the donors use design terms differently, how do we know what they mean? – 40

### Chapter 4: Indicators – 43

What is the purpose of an indicator? – 44

What are the basic components of an indicator? – 45

How do we set the targets of an indicator? – 48

How do we know the indicator will work? – 48

What is the difference between qualitative and quantitative indicators? – 50

What are the other important dimensions for analysis? – 52

What are the risks in working with indicators? – 52

How do we develop indicators? – 53

Advance Concept: Borrowing from Social Capital – 54

If indicators tell us what has changed but not why, how do we find out why it changed? – 57

Advanced Concept: Advanced Indicators – 57

What are the pitfalls to universal conflict transformation indicators? – 59

## **Chapter 5: Baseline – 61**

What is a baseline? – 62

What is the difference between a conflict assessment and a baseline? – 62

How is a baseline utilized? – 64

What does a baseline focus on? – 66

What is a baseline plan? – 68

What does a baseline plan contain? – 69

Who develops the baseline plan and when? – 76

When does a baseline study take place? – 77

Who conducts the baseline? – 78

We have no time to recruit an evaluator for the baseline, what do we do? – 79

What should be done if a complete baseline is not possible? – 80

How do evaluators use baseline data? – 80

## **Chapter 6: Monitoring – 81**

How is monitoring different from evaluation? – 82

Why develop a monitoring practice? – 83

What is context monitoring? – 84

How do we monitor the context? – 84

What is implementation monitoring? – 86

How do we monitor progress towards results? – 87

What do we do with testimonials, anecdotes, and personal narratives? – 88

Why monitor our assumptions? – 89

Should information be collected for each group involved? – 89

How does monitoring fit with logical frameworks and results frameworks? – 89

What do we do when monitoring indicates that we need to make a major programmatic shift? – 90

Example: Restorative Justice for Youth Program Monitoring Plan – 90

## **Chapter 7: Evaluation Introduction – 92**

### **Chapter 8: Evaluation Preparation — Stage One – 96**

Who should be involved in the evaluation preparation? – 98

When does the evaluation preparation occur? – 98

Evaluation Preparation Decision Flowchart – 99

- I. Decision: Evaluation Objectives – 100
  - What do we want to learn? – 100
  - What are the existing criteria or frameworks we can use to guide our thinking? – 100
  - Can the evaluation objectives in the conflict transformation frameworks be explained further? – 101
  - Do we need to use all of the evaluation objectives in the framework? – 105
  - Advanced Concept: DAC Criteria & Conflict Transformation Framework – 105
  - Advanced Concept: RPP 5 Criteria of Effectiveness for Peace writ Large – 107
- II. Decision: Audience – 108
  - Who is the primary audience for the evaluation? – 108
- III. Decision: Formative, Summative or Impact – 110
  - What type of evaluation will it be? – 110
- IV. Decision: Evaluator’s Role – 112
  - What role will the evaluators play? – 112
- V. Decision: Evaluation Approach – 114
  - What is an evaluation approach? – 114
  - Action Evaluation – 114
  - Empowerment Evaluation – 115
  - Goal-Free Evaluation – 116
  - Self-Evaluation – 117
  - Theory-Based Evaluation – 118
  - Utilization-Focused Evaluation – 119
  - What should I consider when selecting the approach? – 122
  - Evaluation Approaches Decision Flowchart – 123
- VI. Decision: Evaluator Scope – 124
  - What is the scope of the evaluation? – 124
- VII. Decision: Evaluator Qualifications – 126
  - Who should conduct the evaluation? – 126
  - Will the evaluators be internal to the organization or external? – 126
  - What type of experience is required? – 128
  - How many evaluators are needed? – 129
  - Will the evaluators be local hires or recruited internationally? – 129
  - Will translation be needed? – 130
- VIII. Decision: Timing – 131
  - When will the evaluation take place? – 131
- IX. Decision: Budget – 132
  - What will the evaluation cost? – 132
  - How long does this process take? – 135

## **Chapter 9: Evaluation Management – Stage Two – 137**

- I. Developing the Terms of Reference - 138
  - What are the terms of reference? – 138
  - When should the term of reference be developed? – 139

Who is involved in developing the terms of reference? – 139

What do the terms of reference contain? – 140

## II. The Evaluation Plan - 153

What is an evaluation plan? – 153

Who develops the evaluation plan and when? – 154

What does an evaluation plan contain? – 154

How do you develop the evaluation plan? – 158

Evaluation Plan Example – 159

## III. Frequently Asked Questions on Working with External Evaluators - 163

1) When should I start recruiting to get a quality evaluator? – 164

2) Where do I find evaluators? – 164

3) How do I appraise the competence of external evaluators? – 165

4) Is there anything different in a evaluator's contract? – 166

5) What do I need to do before the evaluation begins? – 166

6) How do I know if the evaluation plan is a good one? – 167

7) The security situation has worsened and it is not safe for the evaluation team to travel to some of our worksites. Should we cancel the evaluation? – 168

8) Who should manage the evaluators? – 169

9) How much management is needed for external evaluators? – 170

10) Can I participate in the evaluation of my project? – 170

11) What should I do if I don't agree with the draft report? – 171

12) What do we do with the evaluator's primary data, such as interview notes, after the evaluation is completed? – 172

## IV. Strategies for Overcoming Common Evaluation Pitfalls - 172

When the eyes are bigger than the plate – 172

Don't shoot the messenger – 173

Whatever you say, ma'am – 174

Being all things to all people often means being nothing to anyone – 174

We'd be happy to do that for you – 174

We want Wonderwoman/Superman – 175

That logframe is so out of date – 175

It's not a result unless it's a number – 176

I'll just be a fly on the wall – 176

But I thought we were on the same page – 177

## Chapter 10: Evaluation Utilization — Stage 3 - 178

When do I start thinking about the use of an evaluation? – 180

What are the steps needed to use content of the evaluation report? – 180

I'm really busy. Can all of this be put together in a checklist? – 185

Advanced Concept: Utilization from a long-term perspective – 187

## Chapter 11: Ethics in Evaluation - 188

What is an unethical practice? – 189

What are the ethical challenges common in the design stage? – 190

What are some of the common ethical challenges for baselines and evaluations? – 191  
    Protection of People – 191  
    Freedom from Political Interferences – 195  
    Quality Data-Collection Techniques – 197

What is Informed Consent? – 198

Are there different ethical dilemmas and issues for internal versus external evaluators? – 198

## **Chapter 12: Methods – 201**

What are the basic concepts I need to know about data collection? – 202

    Key Terms – 202

    Quantitative & Qualitative: Methods and Data – 203

What are the standard data collection methods? – 204

How are methods selected? – 210

What do I need to know about instrument development and testing? – 215

What is disaggregated data? – 216

How is data analysis done? – 217

Are there unique peacebuilding tools for data collection? – 218

Can I draw a conclusion for the entire population from this data? – 221

What record maintenance systems are necessary for collected data? – 222

What are ethical obligations of feeding back the results to the people involved? – 222

## **Conclusion – 224**

## **Appendices**

A. Sources for the Terminology Decoder – 227

B. Evaluation Terms of Reference (TOR) Circulation Options – 228

# INTRODUCTION

*“We are all faced with a series of great opportunities brilliantly disguised as impossible situations.”*

- CHUCK SWINDOLL

Much has been learned already...

.....

In Macedonia, our television program targeted children to change their attitudes and behaviors about other ethnic groups in the country. We knew from our monitoring efforts that we had extremely high ratings and that the children both knew the characters and understood the main messages being conveyed. Yet, it was only after our evaluation, which explored the effects of the show beyond the target audience, that we started to understand the true power of the program and the opportunity we had missed to do even more.

The evidence indicated that the television program changed what children considered to be the ideal world in which they wanted to live. It shifted their consciousness about what was possible. Behaviors, however, did not change because children were not able to connect this ideal with the real world in which they lived. Future programs will directly link media work with practical activities for the target audience so that perceptions and behaviors may both change.

.....

Our programming focused on women who had been involved in the resistance movement, and we sought to explore alternative means of catalyzing change. Many of the husbands and brothers of these women were also involved in the resistance. After several months of work with the group, a number of the participants dropped out. At the time, it was deemed normal attrition that one could expect from any type of long-term project. It was only through a formative evaluation that we discovered that these women were being pressured and, in some cases, violently abused at home due to their new ideas and opinions regarding the political situation. Our project had changed them; however, we had not anticipated this consequence. With this information, we were able to design new programs to protect against this unintended negative effect.

.....

One of the objectives of our program was to increase the freedom of movement of the minority community, despite the ongoing state of war. Since the conflict was highly dynamic, we needed real-time information to feed into our programming decisions. By integrating mapping into existing activities, we were able to monitor the changes in people's movements. This helped us understand how perceptions of fear and threat changed over time, which was especially useful because the perceptions of the community were not always the same as ours. We

altered our program based on this information. If we had not done the regular monitoring, we would have missed several important changes.

.....

The authors hope this manual will help peacebuilding practitioners appreciate how design, monitoring, and evaluation (DM&E) can contribute both to their learning and to the success of their programs. The belief that underpins all the concepts within this text is that monitoring and evaluation are the learning disciplines most accessible and most useful for peacebuilding practitioners. Ultimately, excellence in conflict transformation program design and effectiveness is the goal of the authors.

The purpose of the manual is to introduce peacebuilding practitioners to the concepts, tools, and methods needed to incorporate better design, monitoring, and evaluation practices into peacebuilding programming. As an introductory volume, the target audience is front-line peacebuilding practitioners from around the world with minimal formal training in design, monitoring, and evaluation. It assumes the audience has experience, training, and access to resources on conflict assessments, which are a prerequisite to participating in conflict transformation program design.

The belief that underpins all the concepts within this text is that monitoring and evaluation are the learning disciplines most accessible and most useful for peacebuilding practitioners.

A number of factors have contributed to the timing of this manual:

- Myths about the complexity, time, and resources needed to conduct DM&E inhibit programs from seeking out opportunities and building capacity in DM&E.
- DM&E has been approached as an end-game, after-the-fact initiative and, hence, is perceived to be of little value to the practitioner.
- There is a limited pool of seasoned individuals who have a blend of experience in conflict transformation and evaluation expertise.
- The nature of conflict transformation and peacebuilding is qualitative and process-focused, which does not lend itself to quantitative models.
- It is often difficult for peacebuilding practitioners to learn the various DM&E approaches in the detail needed to improve program quality.

The manual offers general information on learning and change in addition to chapters dedicated to specific issues such as baselines, indicators, monitoring, and evaluation. It is organized so that readers can easily jump from one chapter to another. However, we strongly urge jumpy readers to start with the chapter on understanding change because it frames the thinking for most of the discussions in the other chapters.

Every effort was made to offer concrete examples with each of the concepts and methods covered. Many are based upon real programs – predominantly those of Search for Common Ground – while others are fictitious.

The manual is intended to be a living reference, updated periodically.

The manual is intended to be a living reference, updated periodically. We invite readers to share examples of how their DM&E experiences have improved program effectiveness. In finding, adapting, and creating those examples, the authors were again awed at the reach of peacebuilding and its vast array of undertakings and strategies. We hope that readers will be left, as we are, with a thirst for a more in-depth resource since this manual only begins to touch the surface of this complex and exciting field.